

# Democratic Column

BY THE DEMOCRATIC CENTRAL COMMITTEE OF  
WEBER COUNTY, T. D. JOHNSON, CHAIRMAN;  
HORACE FOSTER, SECRETARY. OFFICE AND HEAD-  
QUARTERS 323 TWENTY-FOURTH STREET. PHONES,  
BELL 878; IND. 780.

## DEMOCRATIC TICKET.

For President  
WILLIAM JENNINGS BRYAN

For Vice President  
JOHN W. KERN

## SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT

For District Judge  
CHARLES C. RICHARDS.  
For District Attorney  
VALENTINE GIDEON

## WEBER COUNTY TICKET

Senator  
SHERMAN S. SMITH  
Representatives  
CHARLES F. GROUT  
WILLIAM H. LOWDER  
PETER FOLKMAN  
ERNEST T. SPENCER  
County Commissioners  
For four-year term—  
JOHN L. HERRICK  
For two-year term—  
JAMES R. BEUS  
County Clerk  
JOHN A. JUNK  
County Sheriff  
WILLIAM H. ANDERSON  
County Treasurer  
DONALD M'KAY  
County Recorder  
FRANCIS H. WRIGHT  
County Assessor  
JOHN B. TRIMBLE  
County Attorney  
ARTHUR E. PRATT  
County Surveyor  
WASHINGTON JENKINS  
Constable, Ogden City Precinct  
BEN TERNES.

## THE GEIGER CASE.

Governor Cutler's inquiry as to what became of the first case against Philip Geiger, brought back from California for failing to support his children, has brought to light a few facts the public should know, especially in view of the fact that County Attorney N. J. Harris, now aspires to the office of district attorney.

Geiger was extradited from Riverside, Cal., at the instance of Mr. Harris. A short time after Geiger was lodged in the Ogden jail his wife began divorce proceedings in the district

court with Mr. Harris acting as her attorney. When Geiger's case was brought to the attention of the district attorney, Mr. Halverson, he discovered that there was no legal evidence upon which Geiger could be prosecuted. Accordingly the case was dismissed and Geiger returned to California. But a short time afterward the county attorney, Mr. Harris, again took up the matter with the result that Geiger was brought back a second time, the charge being similar, although not the same offense, to that which was dismissed against him before. It is asserted that the county officers would not go after Geiger the second time and that Harris had to appeal to the city officers. The result was that Officer Charles Pincock went to California and brought Geiger back.

Just how Harris figured that he could press such a charge against Geiger after he had once discovered that he had nothing upon which to convict the man, is hard to understand. Here is the explanation offered by the Herald:

"The publication of the record in the Geiger case, as called up by the letter of Governor Cutler to Sheriff Wilson, has brought down a storm of criticism upon the head of County Attorney Harris. When it is understood that all of the expense of extraditing Geiger is borne by the taxpayers at large, and that Harris was the attorney for Mrs. Geiger, in her suit for divorce, a motive for his activity in the case is apparent. Mrs. Geiger was granted alimony by the court, and, as Geiger was out of the jurisdiction of the court at the time, having returned to California, there was a sure way of Mr. Harris getting his attorney fees by bringing Geiger back to the city and compelling the payment of alimony."

The fact that the Ogden Trades & Labor Assembly endorsed William Jennings Bryan for president of the United States is pretty good evidence of how the labor vote of Ogden will go next Tuesday. The father of injunction is too much for the working man.

There is one way to smash the ring

(Continued on Page Eight)

# DEMOCRATIC COLUMN

(Continued from Page Five)

and that is to vote the straight Democratic ticket, next Tuesday.

Extraditing men from distant states at the expense of the taxpayers for the purpose of collecting attorney fees is but one of the many things that go to prove the unfitness of Nathan J. Harris to be district attorney.

When a prosecuting attorney becomes counsel for the wife of a prisoner and recommends the latter to the mercy of the court after securing a divorce for his client, is it any wonder the people begin to ask questions. How about the Mosher case, Mr. Harris?

## A Correction.

In making mention of the "open house" to be given by the Women's Democratic club at Democratic headquarters an error was made in stating the day selected. The day chosen for this big meeting is Saturday, when both afternoon and evening the women will entertain. Refreshments will be served and a jolly good time is promised for all. There will be plenty of music and other entertainment.

Strange, isn't it, that Spry, candidate for Governor, hasn't said anything in his speeches about a certain famous conference he once had with Judge Howell of Ogden? Come to think about it, the judge has been rather reticent about it himself.—Herald.