SUED FOR SISTER'S SUPPORT

COUNTY BEGINS SUIT AGAINST WILLIAM AYLETT.

Effort to Make Him Liable for Money Given to Mrs. Barr During this Year.

The County Attorney, by instruction of the County Commissioners, yester-day filed suit on Lenalf of Salt Lake county against William Aylett, Jr., to recover \$55.55, money expended by the county for the maintenance of Mrs. Mary Barr, a sikter of Aylett, In In-digent circumstances. It is alleged in the complaint that the county has maintained Mrs. Barr since May 19, 1894, and that on January 30, 1899, the County Commissioners directed Aylett to support his sister, but he failed to do so. Since January 20th to July 1st it is alleged the county expended \$55.55 for supporting her. It was the intention of the board to sup Art for the full amount, \$129, expended for supporting Mrs. Barr since May 19, 1991 by

for supporting her. It was the intention of the board to sue Aylett for the full amount, \$126, expended for supporting Mrs. Barr since May 19, 1894, but Assistant Coun-ty Attorney Gunter advised the board that only the amount incurred since Aylett was given notice to support his sister on January 30th could be recov-ered. There are five Ayletts, brothers to Mrs. Barr, but only one of them, the defendant in the suit, was regular-y cited to appear before the board and ardered to support the woman.

CERTIORARI CASE HEARD.

Question as to Jurisdiction of Justice Pardee.

Pardee. Pardee. The petition of Mrs. M. E. Bour-gard for a writ of certiorari against Justice of the Peace Pardee was yes-terday heard by Judge Booth of the Fourth District court, sitting in the Third district in lieu of Judge Cherry. After listening to the arguments the court took it under advisement. It appeared that A. W. Hitchings steed Mrs. Bourgard in Justice Pardee's court to recover \$49 for rent and io obtain possession of the premises, 210 State street. The defendant claimed that Justice Pardee lacked jurisdiction, hence the application for the writ of review.

SUIT FOR \$6668

Brought by W. C. Staines Against American Antimony Co.

American Antimony Co. W. C. Staines has filed suit against the American Antimony company to recover \$5668. In the complaint it is alleged that one Benjamin Hampton performed labor and services for the defendant in looking after its mining property and paid out and expended money at the request of the defendant for maint ance and that the same amounted to \$5668, which claim Hamp-ton assigned to this plaintiff.

Motion for Rehearing Denied.

has overruled a ig in the case of H. Ward and Jo-The Supreme court has notion for a rehearing saac McKay vs. W. H. eph Belnap. T

Suit Against Drummer Dismissed. In the suit in which Felix Rothschild & Co. sued Max M. Well to recover a quentity of men's wearing apparel val-ued at 3200 an order of dismissal was yesterday entered in the District court on motion of the plaintiff. The de-fendant was a traveling man in the employ of the plaintiffs, who alleged in their complaint that Well unlawfully retained possession of the goods which he carried as samples on the road.

Divorce by Default

In the divorce suit of Sophia E. Ja ns vs. John W. Jenkins default of t sfendant was yesterday entered insent in the District court.

Estate of Mrs. Rae. A petition for letters of administra-tion for the estate of Josephine L. Rae deceased, was yesterday filed in the Probate court by James Rae, the hus band of the deceased The estate is valued at \$300. consist ing of the Ledia, Nos. 1 to 5 inclusive mining claims in the Camp Floyd mi-ning district and one and

\$900. consis to 5 Inclusiv mp Floyd m d one-quarte ing c minit ning amp ind c Cit strict and land in d o T

City Files Bil) of Exceptions.

S Bill of Exceptions. esterday illed a bill of ex-e case of Joseph H. Smith t Lake City in the Federal is the Parley's aqueduct Ill of exception's comprises asely-written pages, with marked of the second second second second as the second second second second second the second sec The city ye ptions in th al. vs. Salt s. So This h bill