THE FARR'-SHUPE CASE.

The Testimony all in on Both Sides.

The Motion to Quash the Indictment

Against James Taylor Confessed by Mr. Dickson, And an Order is Issued to Re-sub-

mit the Case to the Grand Jury.

TUESDAY AFTERNOON. [The case of Lorin Farr, vs. P.

R. Shupe.] The objection was modified to an

exception to said judgment being immaterial. Thomas Farr was sworn.

was employed on Farr's mill dam

in 1884 as overseer. The Northern part of the levy was completed

about the 12th or 15th of September, could have turned the water into the dam from 20 to 30 days

sooner had it not been for the injunction. Had no other source of supply of water for the mill race. He corroberated the evidence of the previous witness. His father's individual land is the land in culti-

vation. Mr. Jonassen moved that the testimony be stricken out as the suit is a partnership affair. The jury was then excused till ten a. m. on

Wednesday.

ordered.

Andrew Anderson of Bear River City, were admitted to citizenship. The Court then adjourned till ten a. m. on Wednesday, May 27,

Messrs. James P. Anderson and

1885. TO-DAY'S SESSION. This morning at ten o'clock, after the opening of the Court and

Mr. Dickson confessed the motion to quash the indictment in conse-

the reading of the journal, in the

case of the U.S. vs. James Taylor,

quence of the names of two of the witnesses not being indorsed on the indictment, and asked that an order be made for the case to be reconsidered by the Grand Jury. Judge Williams asked that the Commissioner be required to file his record. The reconsideration of Mr. Taylor's case by the Grand Jury was

without an order. Mr. Bierbower was unavoidably absent, and consequently he would not be able to defend Hoffman.

In the matter of the filing of the

Commissioner's record the Court

thought they could be obtained

Captain Ransford Smith, at the request of Court, undertook to represent the defendant. The case was set for to-morrow afternoon. A map of Ogden River and its

surroundings was introduced as

was continued. He pointed out

Mr. Farr's examination in chief

evidence in the Farr-Shupe case.

the levy, the dam, the mill race, and the mill on the map. "Cross examined by Mr. Jonassen. They turned the water in about the 13th of September. By Mr. Kimball. The wooden part of the dam was put in 16 or

17 years ago. Last summer piles

16 or 18 feet in lêngth were driven

in close to the dam and piles four

feet apart were driven in lower

down. Thomas L. Williams was called and sworn. Is 59 years old and was born in the milling business. (Laughter.) Works for L. Farr & Co. Evidence of the former witness was corroborated by Mr.

Miles H. Jones was colled. He

resides on Ogden River near Farr

& Co,'s dam. . Explained the loca-

tion of the river and the dam on

Williams.

ten minutes.

late.

the map. Lorin Farr was recalled and he explained the map. The minutes of the clerk to show the judgment in the case of Shupe vs. Farr was admitted as evidence for the defense. The jury was given a recess of

Mr. Kimball asked to amend

Mr. Jonassen objected on the

The amendment was allowed and

On the return of the jury they

were addressed by S. J. Jonassen

for the defense. The complaint is

denied entire by the answer.

ground that the question was too

the complaint as to damages to the

mill business, and make \$600.

exception taken by the defence. The clerk was instructed to record that an order had been made allowing the plaintiffs to lay their damages to the flouring business in the sum of \$600.

the defendants deny that the plaintitls were damaged in any form The plaintiffs could whatever. have gone further up the river to to make their dam, and thus overcome the necessity of turning the

river on the Shupes' land. Mr. Kimball objected to this on the ground that in the case of Shupe vs. Farr the present plaintiffs were said to have the right to make their dam where it is. The Court concurred in this objection and re-

ferred to his fuling yesterday. This proved to be quite's sticking point, but the attorney finally continued his address to the Jury. He desired to prove to them that Mr. Farr built his dam higher than was necessary, obtained more water than was needed, and thus some of the water ran on the defendant's land. they will prove that no attempt was made by Mr. Farr to dissolve the injunction until the 3d day of

For the defense Jno. W. Shupe

was called and sworn. He is ac-

quainted with the river and its sur-

September, and that the plaintiff caused the delay and not the de-

fendants.

rounding as shown on the map, The building of the dam was commenced immediately after the injunction was served. Does not remember how many men were at Is acquainted work on the dam. with the building of dams. They could not have worked any more men with advantage on the dam.

The upper part of the levy is about five or six feet higher than at the apron where the waste water runs off. There would be sufficient depth of water to run the mill without running over at the waste apron. The water could be about two or

two and a half feet deep and five

Sheriff G. R. Belnap appointed

feet in width.

Erasmus C. Wansgaard was admitted as a citizen of the United States. Recess till 2 p.m. was taken.

Bailiff of the Court for this term.

Albert Heed, late of Idaho. was admitted to practice at the bar of

this Court.

AFTERNOON SESSION.

John W. Shupe resumed his testimony. He had made some measurements, at the dam since he was on the stand in the morning. mill race is thirteen feet wide and will carry four feet of water. The usual depth of water is two feet. There is an irrigation ditch gate on the north side of the river. During last August the mill race would have taken the whole of the stream in the river without running over onto his land.

By Mr. Kimball---Has built dams

in many places—one in Ogden

Canyon about 1863. Did not res

member the exact time when Mr.

Farr put in the south part of the

dam. Would think it was about twenty years ago. Takes the water for the ice pond from the river alone. Prior to last summer Farr's mill race followed the bluff. The water was taken out at a point higher. up the river from where it is taken out now. The channel of the river was changed when the dam was, built, last summer. He filed the suit for the injunction last July. He stated in the complaint that if Farr built the dam it would cause the water to flow in his land. The term "sluceway" in the complaint refers to the dam and flood-gates. Sometimes the plaintiff raised his gates and the whole of the river could run in. It takes two feet of water to run the mill. In the spring of the year the mill race would not carry the whole of the water in the river. When the head gate is shut the water runs over the dam. By Mr. Kimball—The water was turned in on the 3rd of September before the injunction was dissolved. Is sure it was not on the 13th. A. J. Shupe was called. The

examination said the date the water was turned in was September 3rd. He remembers it distinctly because he put it down on a scrap of paper. He saw this paper a day or two ago. Had looked at it because he

had heard the matter mentioned.

Re-direct by Mr. Jonassen—Mr.

Shupe asked witness to go out on

the dam on the 3rd of September.

water was going through on the 3rd

of September. Water was turned

in before that. The rest of the wit-

ness testimony corroborated that of

Mr. J. O. Stephens in his cross-

J. W. Shupe.

J. W. Shupe re-called by Mr. Jonassen—The papers were served on the 3rd of September, and he took several witnesses out so as to get their affidavits. The Court ordered this testimony to be stricken out. F. C. Manning was called and corroborated previous evidence.

In cross examination witness

stated he was certain the date was

the third of September, when the

water was turned in. He could

show counsel the date in his book

if he would come up. Mr. Lorin Farr re-called said the head-gate was for the purpose of preventing a surplus of water running in the race, which surplus if allowed to run in would be liable

to cause breakages in the race.

The amount of water in the river

last year was more than double the

Cross-examined. The regulating

The Jury was then addressed by

counsel on both sides at considera-

ble length.

quantity for many years past.

of the water was done under his direction last summer. Gave no instruction for the head-gate of the irregating ditch on the north side to be shut down for the purpose of obtaining more water for his mill. This closed the testimony in this Caso.