COURT CALENDAR.

Cases Which Have Been Added to to the Calendar Since Its-🐇 First Publication.

The following cases appear on the First District Court calendar for the May term, in addition to those already published by the Ogden HERALD:

LAW AND MOTION.

1. John S. Lewis vs. Fred Foulger et al. 'Kimball & Heywood and P. H. Emerson for plaintiff. To dissolve injunction and for judgment on pleadings, R. K. Williams.

Jacob Lewis vs. L. R. Gwinn: R. K. Williams for plaintiff; Ransford Smith for defendent.

CRIMINAL CALENDAR,

1. The United States vs. Frank Sadlier. U.S. District Attorney for plaintiff; Kimball & Heywood for defendant.

2. The United States ys. Frank Sadlier. U.S. District Attorney for plaintiff; Kimball & Heywood for defendant.

3. The United States ys. Jos. Porter. U. S. District Attorney for plains, tiff; Kimball and Heywood for defendant.

4. The United States vs. Joseph Porter. U.S. District Attorney for plaintiff; Kimball & Heywood for defendant. 5. The United States vs. Frank E. McWilliams. U. S. District Attorney for plaintiff; Kimball & Heywood for defendant. 6. The United States vs. Frank E. McWilliams. U. S. District At-torney for plaintiff; Kimball & Heywood for defendant. 7. The United States vs. Albert Murdock. U. S. District Attorney for plaintiff; J. B. Milner for defendant. 8. The People etc., vs. John Smith. District Attorney for Plaintiffs. 6. The People, etc., vs. John Smith, District Attorney for plaintiffs.

CIVIL TRIAL.

23. Winchester & Partridge Mf'g. Co. vs. W. W. Funge. R. K. Williams for plaintiff; Kimball & Heywood for defendant.

24. John S. Lewis vs. F. Foulger et al. Kimball & Heywood and P. II. Emerson for plaintiff; R. K. Williams for defendants.

25. Wm. E. Burlock et al. vs. Elizabeth Shupe et al. Kimball & Heywood for plaintiff; Geo. J. Marsh for defendants.

26. Joseph M. Harris vs. Adolph Harris, appeal. E. R. Chase for plaintiff; Taylor & Blerbower for defendant. i.

27. Elizabeth Hansell vs. John Nicholas et al. Kimball & Heywood for plaintiff; Richards & Rolapp for defendants.

28. A. Toponce vs. Allen Hunsacker, appeal. E. R. Chase for plaintiff; Richards & Rolapp for defendant. Tarpey and Phillips vs. John 29. Jones, appeal. E. R. Chase for plaintiffs; and Richards, & Rolapp for defendant. E. H. Orth et al. ys. Robert 30. Wilson. Kimball & Heywood for plaintiff; P. H. Emerson for defendant. 31. Jerusha E. Murphy vs. Jesso J. Murphy et al. Williams & Young for plaintiff; Richards & Rolapp for defendants. Rosenheim, Levis & Co. vs. J. 32.J. Brewer et al. R. K. Williams for plaintiff; Kimball & Heywood for defendants. 33. Lulu Wadley vs. G. R. Belnap, appeal. Kimball & Heywood for plaintiff; R. K. Williams for defendy i ant. 34. Ogden City vs. Elizabeth Shupe et al. R. K. Williams and Kimball & Heywood for plaintiff; Geo. J. Marsh for defendant. O. H. Dudley, et al. ys. John 35. Hubbard, administrator. R. K. Williams for plaintiff. 36. Joseph Hawkins ys. J. Е. Foote: R. K. Williams for plaintiff; Ransford Smith for defendant. J. M. Langsdorf vs. Williams . 37 -& Wilson. R. K. Williams for plaintif£ 38. E.H. Orih vs. F.A. Brown R. K. Williams for plaintiff. ď٦

5

f,

>